

## Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Operations Research Letters 32 (2004) 23-26



A constrained independent set problem for matroids

Tamás Fleiner<sup>a,\*,1</sup>, András Frank<sup>a,b,2</sup>, Satoru Iwata<sup>c,3</sup>

\*Operations Research Department, Eötvös Loránd University, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C, H-1117 Budapest, Hungary

b Traffic Lab Ericsson Hungary, Laborc u. 1., H-1037 Budapest, Hungary

c Graduate School of Information Science and Technology, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan

Received 24 February 2003; received in revised form 15 April 2003; accepted 15 April 2003

#### Abstract

In this note, we study a constrained independent set problem for matroids. The problem can be regarded as an ordered version of the matroid parity problem. By a reduction of this problem to matroid intersection, we prove a min-max formula. We show how earlier results of Hefner and Kleinschmidt on the so-called MS-matchings fit in our framework.

© 2003 Tamás Fleiner. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: MS matchings; Matroid intersection; Matroid parity

### 1. Introduction

In this note, we shall study the following constrained independent set problem for matroids. Let  $M = (V, \mathcal{I})$  be a matroid with |V| even and  $\Pi$  be the partition of V into ordered pairs. An *ideal independent set* is an independent set  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  that satisfies the

E-mail addresses: fleiner@cs.elte.hu (T. Fleiner), frank@cs.elte.hu (A. Frank), iwata@mist.i.u-tokyo.ac.jp (S. Iwata).

constraint

if 
$$(u, v) \in \Pi$$
 and  $u \in I$ , then  $v \in I$ . (1)

Our basic problem, the *ordered matroid parity problem* is to find a maximum cardinality ideal independent set. We shall show that the ordered matroid parity problem can be reduced to matroid intersection, i.e., to the problem of maximizing the size of a common independent set of two matroids.

The ordered matroid parity problem looks similar to the matroid parity problem, i.e., the problem of finding a maximum size independent set I of M so that

if 
$$(u, v) \in \Pi$$
, then  $u \in I$  if and only if  $v \in I$ . (2)

In contrast to our ordered version, the matroid parity problem includes NP-hard problems. It is even known to be intractable with an ordinary oracle model of matroids, although it is solvable in polynomial time for linearly represented matroids [5].

If M is the transversal matroid of some bipartite graph G = (U, V; E), then the ordered matroid parity problem is equivalent to the problem of

0167-6377/03/\$-see front matter © 2003 Tamás Fleiner. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/S0167-6377(03)00063-4

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> On leave from Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, POB 127 H-1364 Budapest. Research was supported by the OTKA T 037547, F 037301 and NWO-OTKA N 034040 projects, the Egerváry Research Group of MTA-ELTE, and the Zoltán Magyary fellowship of the Hungarian Ministry of Education.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Research was supported by the OTKA T 037547 and NWO-OTKA N 034040 projects and by the Egerváry Research Group of MTA-ELTE.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Research was supported by a grant-in-aid for Scientific Research and the 21st Century COE Program from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan.

finding a matching M of G that has the property that for any  $(u, v) \in \Pi$ , the vertex v is covered by M whenever u is covered by M. This is a special case of the so-called MS-matching problem introduced by Hefner and Kleinschmidt [4].

The MS-matching problem involves a graph G and a digraph D on the common vertex set W. The task that was originated from a practical man-power scheduling problem was to find a maximum cardinality matching M of G so that no arc of D leaves the set of vertices that are covered by M. It was shown in [4] that an NP-complete version of the satisfiability problem can be reduced to a restricted MS-matching problem in which each weak component of D has size at most three. They also proved that if all weak components of dependence graph D have size at most two, then even the edge-weighted MS-matching problem can be solved in polynomial time. Furthermore, for the above bipartite special case, Hefner [3] has found a minmax formula. Our work is motivated by these latter results and we shall generalize the min-max formula of Hefner to the ordered matroid parity problem.

András Recski has pointed out to us an unexpected application of the ordered matroid parity problem. Recski [6] studied problems on electric networks. Some of these problems are reduced to matroid partition, some others to linear matroid parity and the rest to our ordered matroid parity. This third-type problem can be reduced to linear matroid parity, which is attributed to Bland in [6]. Our result shows that it can also be reduced to the easier matroid intersection problem.

Our work is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove a min-max formula on the ordered matroid parity problem. We also solve the weighted ordered matroid parity problem in a special case. Then we show that the min-max formula extends that of Hefner in Section 3.

The original version of this note contains generalizations of the main result to supermodular functions and  $\Delta$ -matroids as well as other related models that are polynomially equivalent to matroid parity [2].

## 2. Ordered parity problem

Let us fix a matroid M and a set of ordered pairs  $\Pi$  for the ordered matroid parity problem. Let S be the set of all the second elements and R be the set of

all first elements in the pairs in  $\Pi$ . For any  $v \in S$ , let  $\bar{v}$  denote its mate, i.e.,  $(\bar{v}, v)$  is a pair in  $\Pi$ . For any subset X of S, we denote  $\{\bar{v}: v \in X\}$  by  $\bar{X}$ .

Let  $\mathscr{J}$  denote the family of all the ideal independent sets. The weighted ordered matroid parity problem is to find for a given weight function  $w:V\to\mathbb{R}_+$  an ideal independent set I that is of maximum weight, i.e., we look for  $\max\{w(I)\colon I\in\mathscr{J}\}$  where  $w(I):=\sum_{v\in I}w(v)$ .

A weight function  $w: V \to \mathbb{R}_+$  is called *consistent* if  $w(u) \le w(v)$  holds for any pair of  $u \in R$  and  $v \in S$ . Clearly, the ordered matroid parity problem is a weighted ordered matroid parity problem for the consistent weight function w = 1.

**Lemma 2.1.** In the framework of the ordered matroid parity problem, if the weight function w is consistent, then there is an optimal solution I that contains a base of S.

**Proof.** Suppose  $I \in \mathcal{J}$  is a maximal optimal solution that satisfies  $|I \cap S| < r(S)$ , and let J be an arbitrary base of S containing  $I \cap S$ . For any  $v \in J \setminus I$ , if  $I \cup \{v\} \in \mathcal{J}$ , then  $I \cup \{v\} \in \mathcal{J}$ , which contradicts the optimality of I. Therefore,  $I \cup \{v\}$  is not independent, and there exists an element  $u \in I \setminus S$  such that  $I' = I \cup \{v\} \setminus \{u\} \in \mathcal{J}$ . Since w is consistent, we have  $w(I') \geqslant w(I)$ . Then I' is another optimal solution with  $|I' \cap S| = |I \cap S| + 1$ . Thus, we may assert there is an optimal solution  $I^0$  that satisfies  $|I^0 \cap S| = r(S)$ .

Let  $\mathbf{M} \cdot S$  denote the restriction of  $\mathbf{M}$  to S, and  $\mathbf{M}/S$  denote the contraction of  $\mathbf{M}$  by S. Lemma 2.1 implies that the ordered parity problem for  $\mathbf{M}$  can be reduced to an ordered parity problem for the direct sum  $\mathbf{M}^0 = \mathbf{M} \cdot S \oplus \mathbf{M}/S$ . We now reduce this problem to the matroid intersection problem.

Let  $J \subseteq S$  be an independent set in  $M \cdot S$  such that  $\bar{J}$  is also independent in M/S. Let K be an arbitrary base in  $M \cdot S$  containing J. Then  $I = \bar{J} \cup K$  satisfies the ordered parity condition. Conversely, an optimal solution I for  $M^0$  must be in this form. Note that |I| = r(S) + |J| holds independently of the choice of K. Therefore, an optimal solution I of the ordered parity problem can be obtained by finding a maximum cardinality J, which is the matroid intersection problem. The following min—max theorem follows from the matroid intersection theorem of Edmonds [1].

**Theorem 2.2.** For the ordered parity problem, we have

 $\max\{|I|: I \in \mathcal{J}\}$ 

$$= \min\{r(X) + r(V \setminus \bar{X}): X \subseteq S\}. \tag{3}$$

**Proof.** Let J be a maximum cardinality independent set in  $M \cdot S$  such that  $\overline{J}$  is also independent in M/S. The matroid intersection theorem implies

$$|J| = \min\{r(X) + r_S(R \setminus \bar{X}) : X \subseteq S\}$$

$$= \min\{r(X) + r(V \setminus \bar{X}): X \subseteq S\} - r(S),$$

where  $r_S$  is the rank function of M/S. Since |I| = |J| + r(S), we obtain (3).  $\square$ 

The weighted ordered matroid parity problem for particular weight  $w = \chi^R$  is exactly the NP-hard matroid parity problem. But if weight w is consistent, then by Lemma 2.1, the weighted ordered matroid parity problem for M can be reduced to the weighted ordered matroid parity problem for the above  $M^0$ . In what follows, we reduce this latter problem to weighted matroid intersection.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $M^0$  be the direct sum of matroids **K** on R and **L** on S. Then the weighted ordered parity problem for  $M^0$  is solvable in polynomial time.

**Proof.** Define matroid  $K^0 := K \oplus F_S$  where  $F_S$  is the free matroid on S, i.e., each element of S is a coloop in  $K^0$ . Let  $L^0$  be the matroid on V so that elements v and  $\bar{v}$  are parallel and  $L^0 \cdot S = L$ . Define a weight function w' on V by w'(s) := w(s) and  $w'(\bar{s}) := w(s) + w(\bar{s})$  for all  $s \in S$ .

Let K be a base of K and  $J \subseteq K$ . Clearly, if  $I = \overline{J} \cup K$  is an independent set of  $M^0 = K \oplus L$ , then  $I' := I \setminus J$  is a common independent set of  $K^0$  and  $L^0$  with w(I) = w'(I'). On the other hand, if  $I' = \overline{J} \cup L$  is a common independent set of  $K^0$  and  $L^0$  for  $J, L \subseteq S$ , then J and L are disjoint and  $I := I' \cup J$  is an independent set of  $M^0$  satisfying (1) and w'(I') = w(I). That is, if I' is a maximum w'-weight common independent set of  $K^0$  and  $L^0$ , then I is a maximum w-weight independent set of  $M^0$  with (1).

Thus, we reduce the weighted ordered parity problem for  $M^0$  to the weighted matroid intersection problem, which can be solved in polynomial time.  $\square$  Note that Lemma 2.3 is independent of the consistency of w. Combining Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.4.** The weighted ordered matroid parity problem for consistent weight can be solved in polynomial time.

# 3. MS-matchings

In this section, we derive the min-max theorem of Hefner on bipartite MS-matchings from Theorem 2.2.

Let G = (U, V; E) be a bipartite graph with the vertex set  $W = U \cup V$  and the edge set E. Suppose the vertex set V is of even cardinality and partitioned into ordered pairs  $\Pi$ , and just like in Section 2, R and S denote the set of first and second elements of pairs in  $\Pi$ , respectively. For a subset  $M \subseteq E$ , we denote by  $\partial M$  the set of vertices covered by M. A matching M in G is called an MS-matching if  $\bar{v} \in \partial M$  implies  $v \in \partial M$  for every ordered pair  $(\bar{v}, v) \in \Pi$ . The problem of finding a maximum cardinality MS-matching in G is nothing but an ordered parity problem for the transversal matroid on V.

An MS-cover is a vector  $y \in \mathbf{Z}_{+}^{W}$  that satisfies

$$y(u) + y(v) \geqslant 1 \quad \forall (u, v) \in E, \ v \in R,$$
 (4)

$$y(u) + y(v) - y(\overline{v}) \geqslant 1 \quad \forall (u, v) \in E, \ v \in S.$$
 (5)

The value of an MS-cover y is defined by

$$val(y) = \sum_{u \in U} y(u) + \sum_{v \in S} y(v).$$

Summing up (4) and (5) for the edges of MS-matching M shows that  $|M| \le \text{val}(y)$  for any pair of an MS-matching M and an MS-cover y. Hefner [3] showed that the equality holds for an optimal pair of M and y.

**Theorem 3.1** (Hefner [3]). The maximum cardinality of an MS-matching is equal to the minimum value of an MS-cover.

We prove this by applying Theorem 2.2 to the transversal matroid. For  $Y \subseteq V$ , let  $\Gamma(Y)$  denote the set of vertices in U adjacent to Y. The rank function  $\tau$  of the transversal matroid is given by

$$\tau(X) = \min\{|\Gamma(Y)| - |Y|: Y \subseteq X\} + |X|. \tag{6}$$

I

Let M be a maximum MS-matching. Theorem 2.2 asserts that there exists a subset  $X \subseteq S$  such that  $|M| = \tau(X) + \tau(V \setminus \bar{X})$ . Since  $|M| \le \operatorname{val}(y)$  holds for any MS-cover y, the following lemma completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

**Lemma 3.2.** For any  $X \subseteq S$ , there exists an MS-cover y such that  $\operatorname{val}(y) = \tau(X) + \tau(V \setminus \bar{X})$ .

**Proof.** Let Y be the unique minimal minimizer that determines  $\tau(X)$  in the right-hand side of (6). Similarly, let Z be a minimizer that determines  $\tau(V \setminus \bar{X})$ . Then we claim that  $Y \subset Z$ .

Note that  $|\Gamma(Y)| + |\Gamma(Z)| \ge |\Gamma(Y \cap Z)| + |\Gamma(Y \cup Z)|$  and  $|Y| + |Z| = |Y \cap Z| + |Y \cup Z|$  hold. Since  $|\Gamma(Z)| - |Z| \le |\Gamma(Y \cup Z)| - |Y \cup Z|$ , we have  $|\Gamma(Y \cap Z)| - |Y \cap Z| \le |\Gamma(Y)| - |Y|$ , which implies  $Y \subseteq Z$  by the minimality of Y.

We now construct an MS-cover y. For each  $u \in U$ , we assign

$$y(u) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } u \in \Gamma(Y), \\ 1 & \text{if } u \in \Gamma(Z) \setminus \Gamma(Y), \\ 0 & \text{if } u \in U \setminus \Gamma(Z). \end{cases}$$

For each  $v \in R$ , we also assign y(v) by

$$y(v) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v \in R \setminus Z, \\ 0 & \text{if } v \in R \cap Z. \end{cases}$$

Note that y already satisfies (4). For each  $v \in S$ , we assign  $y(v) = z(v) + y(\overline{v})$ , where z(v) is defined by

$$z(v) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v \in S \setminus Z, \\ 0 & \text{if } v \in Z \setminus Y, \\ -1 & \text{if } v \in Y. \end{cases}$$

By definition,  $z(u) + z(v) \ge 1$  for any  $(u, v) \in \Pi$ . So the resulting  $v \in \mathbb{Z}_+^W$  is an MS-cover and its value is given by

$$val(y) = |\Gamma(Y)| + |\Gamma(Z)| + |R \setminus Z| + |S \setminus Z| - |Y|$$
$$= |\Gamma(Y)| + |\Gamma(Z)| + |V \setminus \bar{X}| + |X| - |Z| - |Y|$$
$$= \tau(X) + \tau(V \setminus \bar{X}).$$

Thus, we obtain an MS-cover y with val $(y) = \tau(X) + \tau(V \setminus \bar{X})$ .  $\square$ 

# Acknowledgements

The authors thank András Recski for pointing out a connection of the present work to electric networks.

#### References

- [1] J. Edmonds, Submodular functions, matroids, and certain polyhedra, in: R. Guy, H. Hanani, N. Sauer, J. Schönheim (Eds.), Combinatorial Structures and Their Applications, Gordon and Breach, London, 1970, pp. 69-87.
- [2] T. Fleiner, A. Frank, S. Iwata, A constrained independent set problem for matroids, EGRES Technical Report TR-2003-01, Budapest, 2003, http://www.cs.elte.hu/egres.
- [3] A. Hefner, A min-max theorem for a constrained matching problem, SIAM, J Discrete Math. 10 (1997) 180-189.
- [4] A. Hefner, P. Kleinschmidt, A constrained matching problem, Ann. Oper. Res. 57 (1995) 135-145.
- [5] L. Lovász, The matroid matching problem, algebraic methods in graph theory, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai 25 (1978) 495-517.
- [6] A. Recski, Sufficient conditions for the unique solvability of linear networks containing memoryless 2-ports, Internat. J. Circuit Theory Appl. 8 (1980) 95-103.